

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra Vignus 22313-1450 www.usptc.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09.954.483	09.17.2001	Christian Siebel	RMES-02 6505		
75	90 08-11-2003				
DELTAGEN, INC.			EXAMINER		
740 Bay Road Redwood City, CA 94063			LEFFERS JR, GERALD G		
Redwood eny,	C.1. 91003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1636	17	
		DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	_	09/954.48	09/954.483 SIEBEL ET AL			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Gerald G L	effers Jr., PhD	1636		
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress	
Period fo	r Reply					
THE N - Exter - If the - If NO - Failu	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN usions of time may be available under the provisions siX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve nunication. 30) days, a reply within the statu faturory period will apply and will will be statued to the application.	nt, however, may a reply be ti tory minimum of thirty (30) da I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered time n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.	
1) <u>⊠</u>	Responsive to communication(s) fi	iled on <i>02 June <u>2003</u> .</i>				
	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.			
2a)	Since this application is in conditio	n for allowance except	for formal matters, p	prosecution as to th	ne merits is	
,	closed in accordance with the prac	ctice under Ex parte Qu	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
-	ion of Claims	P = = 4° = =				
	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the		consideration			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 27 and 28	s/are withdrawn from	Consideration.			
-	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-26 and 29-31</u> is/are reje	cted.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		. Command			
	Claim(s) are subject to restri	iction and/or election re	equirement.			
	ion Papers	ne Evaminer				
	The specification is objected to by the thick that the drawing(s) filed on is/are		objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10)	Applicant may not request that any of	niection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a)		
11)	The proposed drawing correction file	ed on is: a) ☐ a	pproved b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.	
' ' / 🗀	If approved, corrected drawings are re					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected t					
, —	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•				
	Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).		
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
a,	1. Certified copies of the priorit		n received.			
į	2. Certified copies of the priorit			ation No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies				ıl Stage	
*	application from the Inte See the attached detailed Office act	rnational Bureau (PC1 ion for a list of the cert	Rule 17.2(a)). ified copies not recei	ved.		
14)[>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	e) (to a provision	al application).	
15)	a) \square The translation of the foreign $lpha$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	anguage provisional ap n for domestic priority u	oplication has been runder 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	eceived. 20 and/or 121.		
Attachme			🗖	(DTO 110) 5	(a/a)	
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summ 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other	ary (PTO-413) Paper N al Patent Application (F	lo(s) PTO-152)	
US Patent and	Trademark Office	Office Action Summa	rv	Part of Paper No. 1	7	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/954,483

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-26 & 29-31) in Paper No. 16, filed 6/2/03 is acknowledged. Claims 27-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Sequence Compliance

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 because sequences were set forth that lack sequence identifiers, no computer readable format (CRF) was filed, no paper sequence was filed and no attorney statement was filed. This specifically refers to the sequence shown in Figure 14, which does not have a corresponding sequence in the sequence listing (e.g. compare the last 20 nucleotides in Figure 14 with the sequences in the sequence listing). If the Sequence Listing required for the instant application is identical to that of another application, a letter may be submitted requesting transfer of the previously filed sequence information to the instant application. For a sample letter requesting transfer of sequence information, refer to MPEP § 2422.05. Additionally, it is often convenient to identify sequences in figures by amending the Brief Description of the Drawings section (see MPEP § 2422.02).

Applicants are required to comply with all of the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825. Any response to this office action that fails to meet all of these requirements will be considered non-responsive. The nature of the noncompliance with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821 through 1.825 did not preclude the continued examination of the application on the merits, the results of which are communicated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1636

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-25 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Each of the claims features a targeting construct comprising a positive selection marker, two regions of homology to a target sequence and a "regulator" that controls expression of the positive selection marker. The specification describes the regulator as being "...a sequence or sequences (i.e. polynucleotide sequence or protein sequence) that regulates or controls expression of the selectable marker..." (page 8, lines 15-18). The specification also teaches that the regulator functions to down regulate expression of the selectable marker on the targeting construct when the construct is randomly incorporated into the target genome by illegitimate recombination events (e.g. pages 5-6, bridging paragraphs). This allows the skilled artisan to select for the presence of the positive selection marker and reduce the number of false-positives for proper incorporation of the targeting construct into the target sequence due to the reduced expression of the marker in those cells where the marker is randomly incorporated. Due to this feature, the skilled artisan does not need to utilize negative selection methodologies. The rejected claims encompass an enormous genus of targeting constructs comprising a "regulator" comprising literally any protein or DNA sequence, or combination thereof, arranged in any fashion on the targeting construct. The "regulator" must function, however, to down regulate

Art Unit: 1636

expression of the positive selection marker if the targeting construct does not insert into the target sequence.

The specification describes a single relevant working example where the two sequences with homology to the target sequence flank a selectable marker cassette and where a gene encoding a transcriptional repressor (lacl) is located on the construct on the other side of one of the two targeting sequences from the positive selection marker (e.g. neo^r). The gene encoding the selectable marker in this case is under the control of a promoter comprising the cognate operator sequence (lacO) for the repressor such that, if random incorporation of the entire targeting construct into the host genome occurs, expression of the positive selection marker is repressed. A double crossover event between the targeting sequences on the construct and the target sequence in the genome, however, results in the release of at least part of the "regulator" and allows more efficient expression of the selectable marker. No other arrangement of the different components of the targeting constructs is described in the instant specification. For example, no description is provided for an alternate arrangement of the two regions of homology to the target sequence and the positive selection marker. The specification asserts that a transcriptional silencer element (e.g. NRF, COL4, etc.) could also work in cis to accomplish the same effect, but no arrangement of such an element has been described in the instant specification. Thus, the instant specification does not provide a basis for one of skill in the art to envision a sufficient number of other arrangements of the recited elements to describe the broadly claimed targeting vectors embraced by the rejected claims.

The prior art does not appear to teach a system of utilizing a "regulator" to down regulate expression of a positive selection marker in targeting constructs when the constructs are

Art Unit: 1636

randomly inserted into the genome of a host cell. Therefore, the prior art does not offset the deficiencies of the instant specification concerning a basis for one to envision a number of alternative arrangements of the recited elements or other types of regulators sufficient to describe the broadly claimed genus.

Given that the term "regulator" apparently encompasses a huge number of possible DNA sequences and proteins sequences, or combinations thereof, and given the functional limitations of what the "regulator" must accomplish, the skilled artisan would not be able to envision a sufficient number of embodiments of the claimed invention to describe the broadly claimed genus of targeting vectors. Therefore, the skilled artisan would reasonably have concluded applicants were not in possession of the claimed invention at the time of filing.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-25 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Each of the claims recites a limitation of a "regulator". The metes and bounds of this term are unclear in the context of the claimed invention. The specification describes the regulator as being "... a sequence or sequences (i.e. polynucleotide sequence or protein sequence) that regulates or controls expression of the selectable marker..." (page 8, lines 15-18). The specification also teaches that the regulator functions to down regulate expression of the selectable marker on the targeting construct when the construct is randomly incorporated into the

Art Unit: 1636

target genome by illegitimate recombination events (e.g. pages 5-6, bridging paragraphs). It is unclear how a regulator can be comprised within a targeting vector and also be a protein. Also, it is unclear whether the term necessarily refers to protein and nucleic acid sequences in certain embodiments. For example, in the embodiment exemplified in the instant specification (e.g. in Figure 5), the targeting vector comprises an operator sequence (lacO) operatively linked to the promoter that drives the selectable marker, as well as a sequence encoding the lac repressor (lacI). In this case, does the term "regulator" refer to the cis-acting lacO sequence, the coding sequence for lacI or the repressor protein, or does it necessarily refer to a combination of all three? It would be remedial to amend the claim language to make clear which elements, protein or DNA sequence or both, must be present in order for a targeting construct to satisfy the limitation of comprising a "regulator".

Claim 20 is vague and indefinite in that it recites "introducing a targeting vector" without specifying into what or to whom the vector is introduced.

Claims 20 and 22 are vague and indefinite in that they recite the limitation of a sequence that is "substantially" homologous to another nucleic acid. This term is not explicitly defined in the specification and is inherently indefinite. It is unclear, for example, how "substantially homologous" nucleic acids would differ from "homologous" nucleic acids in terms of the instant invention. It would be remedial to simply delete this term from the claims as it does not appear to add anything to the claim.

Claim 22 is vague and indefinite in that it recites "inserting a targeting vector" without specifying into what the vector is inserted.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/954,483

Art Unit: 1636

Claims 24 is vague and indefinite in that it is improperly dependent upon itself. This affects claims 23 and 25 which are dependent upon claim 24.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Capecchi et al (AC; U.S. Patent No. 5,627,059; see the entire patent).

Claim 26 is drawn to an isolated host cell comprising a modification or disruption of a target gene, wherein the target gene is modified or disrupted by insertion of a targeting vector into the host cell.

Capecchi et al teach the use of positive-negative targeting vectors that comprise targeting sequences flanking a positive selection marker and which further comprise a negative selection marker outside of the targeting cassette that allows for selection against random insertion events (e.g. Abstract; Figure 1). The '059 patent teaches examples where particular genes in a target cell have been inactivated by insertion of a targeting construct (e.g. Example 4-Disruption of the hox1.4 locus in mouse ES cells).

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Page 8 Application/Control Number: 09/954,483 Art Unit: 1636 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald G Leffers Jr., PhD whose telephone number is (703) 308-6232. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am-6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel can be reached on (703) 305-1998. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196. Sended Ar. Wiff- J. Gerald G Leffers Jr., PhD Examiner Art Unit 1636 Ggl August 8, 2003